

U.S. Department of Education Office of Postsecondary Education Accreditation Group

ACCREDITATION HANDBOOK

In accordance with 34 CFR Part 602: The Secretary's Recognition of Accrediting Agencies

Revised February 2022

Contents

Overview	3
Standard of Review	3
General Submission Information	4
§ 602.10 - Link to Federal programs	4
§ 602.11 - Geographic area of accrediting activities	5
§ 602.12 Accrediting experience	6
§ 602.14 Purpose and organization	8
§ 602.15 Administrative and fiscal responsibilities.	10
§ 602.16 Accreditation and preaccreditation standards	12
§ 602.17 Application of standards in reaching an accrediting decision.	16
§ 602.18 Ensuring consistency in decision-making.	18
§ 602.19 Monitoring and reevaluation of accredited institutions and programs	20
§ 602.20 Enforcement of standards	21
§ 602.21 Review of standards.	22
§ 602.22 Substantive changes and other reporting requirements.	23
§ 602.23 Operating procedures all agencies must have	27
§ 602.24 Additional procedures certain institutional agencies must have	29
§ 602.25 Due process	32
§ 602.26 Notification of accrediting decisions.	33
§ 602.27 Other information an agency must provide the Department	35
§ 602.28 Regard for decisions of States and other accrediting agencies	35
Appendix: Required responses by agency type for 34 CFR §§ 602.10-602.28	37

Overview

The purpose of this Handbook is to provide clarity to the public regarding existing requirements under the law and regulations, as well as additional clarity on guidance to accrediting agencies on the documentation for submission of a petition¹ from an agency seeking recognition from the U.S. Secretary of Education under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA). Accrediting agencies recognized by the Secretary identify those agencies, for Federal purposes, as reliable authorities of the quality of education or training provided by the institutions of higher education and the higher education programs they accredit.

This Handbook does not supersede applicable regulations or statute. This Handbook supersedes the previous version of the Handbook issued on December 22, 2020, in full.

Standard of Review

The Secretary's Criteria for Recognition are organized by sections on the <u>U.S. Department of Education's e-</u> <u>Recognition website</u>. For each of these sections, Department staff will review the application and related materials, including the results of site visits and file reviews, and issue one of the following recommended findings in each section and overall recognition recommendation:

Fully compliant – the agency has the necessary policies, practices, and standards in place and, in each example provided or reviewed during the staff analyst's observation, has effectively adhered to those policies, practices, and/or standards. The agency could also be found compliant if the agency has the appropriate policies, practices, and standards in place, but the agency has not had the need to implement or demonstrate compliance with the policies, practices, or standards during the review period.

<u>Substantially compliant</u> – the agency demonstrated that it has the necessary policies, practices, and standards in place and generally adheres with fidelity to those policies, practices, and standards; or the agency has policies, practices, and standards in place that need minor modifications to reflect its generally compliant practice. If Department staff determines that the agency has come into compliance during the review period, this designation may require no additional response or action from the agency. However, Department staff or the National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity (NACIQI) could recommend, or the SDO could require, that the agency submit a monitoring report to demonstrate that it has become or remains compliant.

<u>Not compliant</u> – the agency does not have the necessary policies, practices, and standards in place, or has the policies, practices, and standards in place but has consistently failed to adhere to them as demonstrated by the examples provided or reviewed during Department staff's observations. A finding of noncompliance may result in a recommendation from Department staff or NACIQI, or a decision by the senior Department official (SDO), that an agency's recognition be denied, limited, suspended, or terminated. Alternatively, Department staff or NACIQI could recommend, or the SDO could require, that the agency come into compliance and submit a compliance report that would be reviewed by Department staff and NACIQI at a subsequent meeting, and by the SDO, to make a final recognition or compliance decision.

These recommended findings are presented to NACIQI and the SDO. An overview of the Secretary's recognition process for accrediting agencies is <u>available here</u>.

¹ The term "petition" has the same meaning as the term "application" as used in <u>Subpart C of 34 CFR 602</u>.

General Submission Information

- Where applicable, agencies that accredit both institutions and programs must provide documentation and examples related to their review of both institutions and programs.
- During a file review, Department staff must be provided with access to agency files and documents, including electronic files, necessary to evaluate the agency's compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. The Department must be able to obtain and archive copies of those documents, in either an electronic or paper-based format, to serve as documentation for the analyst's recommended finding and for review by NACIQI. The Department may be required to disclose some or all of these documents to the public under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and/or Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) requirements. In such a case, the Department requires the agency to redact information or designate information for redaction from those documents, as provided by § 602.31(f), before they are provided to the Department or released to the public.
- Selection of institutions and/or programs reviewed during the Department staff's file review will be made by Department staff. This will include selection of files and institutions and/or programs based on compliance factors, litigation, and news reports, accrediting agency actions, financial concerns, and/or random selection, but will be limited to institutions and/or programs reviewed during the agency's current recognition period. However, the agency can provide additional files it believes offer a more relevant example of the agency's or its member institutions' or programs' work.
- Information cannot be submitted via a live webpage or other shared document. All documents submitted by the agency must be submitted in a manner that, once submitted, can no longer be edited and meets other Department requirements. This requirement is necessary to avoid discrepancies if information found in an online document, such as a webpage, is changed after submission to the Department. The Department must maintain an accurate and contemporaneous record of information, as required by the regulations at §§ 602.31, 602.34(c), and 602.36(a) and may request a specific type of submission in order to meet Federal cybersecurity and other requirements.
- Definitions that apply to the Department's recognition regulations can be found at 34 CFR §§ 600.2 and 602.3.
- For further information, including up-to-date contact information for Department staff, please visit <u>https://www.ed.gov/accreditation</u>.

§ 602.10 - Link to Federal programs.

The agency must demonstrate that--

(a) If the agency accredits institutions of higher education, its accreditation is a required element in enabling at least one of those institutions to establish eligibility to participate in HEA programs. If, pursuant to 34 CFR 600.11(b), an agency accredits one or more institutions that participate in HEA programs and that could designate the agency as its link to HEA programs, the agency satisfies this requirement, even if the institution currently designates another institutional accrediting agency as its Federal link; or

(b) If the agency accredits institutions of higher education or higher education programs, or both, its accreditation is a required element in enabling at least one of those entities to establish eligibility to participate in non-HEA Federal programs.

In Petition	File Review
For agencies that accredit institutions to participate in HEA programs (602.10(a)):	
• Submitting a petition for initial recognition: the agency must provide a letter written	No additional
to it by at least one institution or program attesting that, should the agency become	documentation
recognized, the institution or program could rely on the agency's accreditation to	required
establish eligibility to participate in HEA programs.	
• Submitting a petition for renewal of recognition: the agency must submit the name of	
one of its accredited institutions that participates in an HEA program and that could be	
made eligible to participate in such a program as a result of accreditation by the agency.	
For agencies that accredit institutions or programs, and such accreditation establishes	
eligibility for at least one program or institution to participate in non-HEA programs	
(602.10(b)):	
• Submitting a petition for initial or renewal of recognition: the agency must provide	
the citation for, and a copy of the specific portion of the authorizing law, regulation or	
other Federal requirement (which is not subregulatory guidance), stating accreditation	
by an agency recognized by the Secretary of Education is necessary for an institution or	
program to participate in the Federal program; and documentation that at least one	
institution or program does rely on or, once recognition is obtained, will rely on the	
agency's accreditation to participate or establish eligibility to participate in such a	
program.	

§ 602.11 - Geographic area of accrediting activities.

The agency must demonstrate that it conducts accrediting activities within-

(a) A State, if the agency is part of a State government;

(b) A region or group of States chosen by the agency in which an agency provides accreditation to a main campus, a branch campus, or an additional location of an institution. An agency whose geographic area includes a State in which a branch campus or additional location is located is not required to also accredit a main campus in that State. An agency whose geographic area includes a State in which only a branch campus or additional location is located is not required to accept an application for accreditation from other institutions in such State; or

(c) The United States.

In Petition	File Review
• A list of States in which the agency conducts accreditation activities, including all	 Records of
States where the agency accredits a main campus, a branch campus, or an additional	accreditation
location. The agency should also note any States where it only accredits branch	activities reflecting
campuses or additional locations and will not consider accrediting main campuses.	the agency's
• A current list of accredited and preaccredited (if applicable) institutions and/or	published scope.
programs (including branch campuses and additional locations).	
• For agencies that have expanded their geographic area, a list of all institutions or	
programs that have been accredited/preaccredited within the expanded area and the	
process under which those institutions or programs were evaluated if differing from	
other institutions or programs accredited by the agency.	
 For at least one institution or program accredited or preaccredited by the 	
agency under the expanded geographic area:	

In Petition		File Review
1)	the letter of interest or the application from the institution or program in which it states its interest in seeking accreditation or preaccreditation;	
2)	the letter from the agency demonstrating that the application was accepted for review or that the accreditation/preaccreditation review process was commencing;	
3)	the letter from the agency granting accreditation or preaccreditation;	
4)	all files and communication related to the agency's review of the institution or program, including the application that initiated the accreditation or preaccreditation review process; and	
5)	records of correspondence between the agency and the institution or program regarding the accreditation or preaccreditation process and/or the institution's or program's accreditation or preaccreditation status.	

§ 602.12 Accrediting experience.

(a) An agency seeking initial recognition must demonstrate that it has--

(1) Granted accreditation or preaccreditation prior to submitting an application for recognition—

 (i) To one or more institutions if it is requesting recognition as an institutional accrediting agency and to one or more programs if it is requesting recognition as a programmatic accrediting agency;

(ii) That covers the range of the specific degrees, certificates, institutions, and programs for which it seeks recognition.; and

(iii) In the geographic area for which it seeks recognition; and

(2) Conducted accrediting activities, including deciding whether to grant or deny accreditation or preaccreditation, for at least two years prior to seeking recognition, unless the agency seeking initial recognition is affiliated with, or is a division of, an already recognized agency.

(b)

(1) A recognized agency seeking an expansion of its scope of recognition must follow the requirements of §§ 602.31 and 602.32 and demonstrate that it has accreditation or preaccreditation policies in place that meet all the criteria for recognition covering the range of the specific degrees, certificates, institutions, and programs for which it seeks the expansion of scope and has engaged and can show support from relevant constituencies for the expansion. A change to an agency's geographic area of accrediting activities does not constitute an expansion of the agency's scope of recognition, but the agency must notify the Department of, and publicly disclose on the agency's website, any such change.
(2) An agency that cannot demonstrate experience in making accreditation or preaccreditation decisions under the expanded scope at the time of its application or review for an expansion of scope may—

(i) If it is an institutional accrediting agency, be limited in the number of institutions to which it may grant accreditation under the expanded scope for a designated period of time; or
(ii) If it is a programmatic accrediting agency, be limited in the number of programs to which it may grant accreditation under that expanded scope for a certain period of time; and
(iii) Be required to submit a monitoring report regarding accreditation decisions made under the expanded scope.

In Petition File Review For agencies seeking initial recognition [See § 602.32(b)]: • Department staff must to review additional files	
	be able
• A list of all institutions and/or programs that the agency has accredited accredited or preaccredit	ted
or preaccredited during the previous five years, including their geographic institutions and/or progra	
location, credential levels included in the preaccreditation or including letters or applic	
accreditation, the dates on which the institutions/programs applied for that initiated the accredit	
accreditation/preaccreditation, and the dates on which the preaccreditation review preaccreditation review preaccreditation review preaccreditation review preaccreditation review preaccreditation preaccreditation preaccreditation review preaccreditation preaccr	process,
institutions/programs received accreditation or preaccreditation, if records of correspondent	ce
accreditation or preaccreditation has been awarded. between the agency and	
• For at least one program or institution accredited or preaccredited by institution or program re	garding
the agency, the following documents: the accreditation or	
1) the letter of interest or the application from the institution or preaccreditation process	or the
program in which it states its interest in seeking accreditation institution's or program's	5
or preaccreditation and will rely on the agency as its link to a accreditation or preaccre	ditation
Federal program; status, and letters from t	he
2) the letter from the agency demonstrating that the application agency indicating that	
was accepted for review or that the accreditation or preaccre	ditation
accreditation/preaccreditation review process was had been granted.	
commencing;	
3) the letter from the agency granting accreditation or For agencies seeking an	
preaccreditation; expansion of scope:	
any site visit reports for the institution or program;	
5) any special reviews of the institution or program conducted • Documentation that	
by the agency; and administrators, practition	
6) all decision letters regarding accreditation/preaccreditation educators experienced in	
or substantive changes issued by the agency for the instructional delivery in t	
institution or program. of the expansion of scope	
• For an agency that is affiliated with, or is a division of, an already o participated in the second secon	
recognized agency, the requirement for two years of experience does not development and	
apply, but the agency must submit documentation of that relationship, approval of the p	
including, but not limited to, budgets and contracts between the two and procedures r	
parties. to the area of sco	•
• Letters from at least three educators, three accredited (by this agency expansion and se	
or another recognized agency) institutions or programs, and, if the decision-mak	ling
appropriate, three employers or practitioners, explaining why the letter'sbody; andauthor supports the agency's application for recognition, which couldohave or will parti	cinata
author supports the agency's application for recognition, which could o have or will parti- include the explanation of the role for such an agency and the reasons for on site visit team	-
their support.	
seeking preaccre	-
For agencies seeking an expansion of scope (see § 602.32(j)): or accreditation of accredita	
the expansion of	
• A list of institutions/programs that the agency has accredited or	
preaccredited covering the range of the expansion of scope request, if the	
agency has performed this function outside of its current scope of	
recognition. If the agency has not already performed this function outside	
of its scope of recognition, it must provide documentation of the agency's	

In Petition	File Review
standards, policies, or procedures developed and that will be applied by	
the agency if granted the expansion of scope.	
Letters from at least three institution or programs that would seek	
preaccreditation or accreditation under the expansion of scope.	
• An explanation of how the agency will expand capacity to support the	
expansion of scope, if necessary, and how its budget will support the	
expansion of capacity, if needed.	
• Documentation on the agency's plans for expansion, including how	
many programs or institutions the agency expects to accredit under the	
expanded scope in the next five years.	
For agencies that have changed their geographic area of accrediting activities (see § 602.12(b)(1)):	
• A copy of the notification to the Department of the change in	
geographic area of accrediting activities.	
• Links to all locations where the agency has publicly disclosed the change	
in geographic area of accrediting activities.	

§ 602.14 Purpose and organization.

(a) The Secretary recognizes only the following four categories of accrediting agencies:

(1) A State agency that—

(i) Has as a principal purpose the accrediting of institutions of higher education, higher education programs, or both; and

(ii) Has been listed by the Secretary as a nationally recognized accrediting agency on or before October 1, 1991.

(2) An accrediting agency that-

(i) Has a voluntary membership of institutions of higher education;

(ii) Has as a principal purpose the accrediting of institutions of higher education and that accreditation is used to provide a link to Federal HEA programs in accordance with § 602.10; and (iii) Satisfies the "separate and independent" requirements in paragraph (b) of this section.

- (3) An accrediting agency that-
 - (i) Has a voluntary membership; and

(ii) Has as its principal purpose the accrediting of institutions of higher education or programs, and the accreditation it offers is used to provide a link to non-HEA Federal programs in accordance with §602.10.

- (4) An accrediting agency that, for purposes of determining eligibility for title IV, HEA programs—
 - (i)

(A) Has a voluntary membership of individuals participating in a profession; or

(B) Has as its principal purpose the accrediting of programs within institutions that are accredited by another nationally recognized accrediting agency; and

(ii) Satisfies the "separate and independent" requirements in paragraph (b) of this section or

obtains a waiver of those requirements under paragraph (d) of this section.

(b) For purposes of this section, "separate and independent" means that-

(1) The members of the agency's decision-making body, who decide the accreditation or preaccreditation status of institutions or programs, establish the agency's accreditation policies, or both, are not elected or selected by the board or chief executive officer of any related, associated, or affiliated trade association, professional organization, or membership organization and are not staff of the related, associated, or affiliated trade associated, or affiliated trade associated, or affiliated trade association, professional organization, or membership organization;
(2) At least one member of the agency's decision-making body is a representative of the public, and at least one-seventh of the body consists of representatives of the public;

(3) The agency has established and implemented guidelines for each member of the decision-making body including guidelines on avoiding conflicts of interest in making decisions;

(4) The agency's dues are paid separately from any dues paid to any related, associated, or affiliated trade association or membership organization; and

(5) The agency develops and determines its own budget, with no review by or consultation with any other entity or organization.

(c) The Secretary considers that any joint use of personnel, services, equipment, or facilities by an agency and a related, associated, or affiliated trade association or membership organization does not violate the "separate and independent" requirements in paragraph (b) of this section if —

(1) The agency pays the fair market value for its proportionate share of the joint use; and

(2) The joint use does not compromise the independence and confidentiality of the accreditation process.
(d) For purposes of paragraph (a)(4) of this section, the Secretary may waive the "separate and independent" requirements in paragraph (b) of this section if the agency demonstrates that—

(1) The Secretary listed the agency as a nationally recognized agency on or before October 1, 1991, and has recognized it continuously since that date;

(2) The related, associated, or affiliated trade association or membership organization plays no role in making or ratifying either the accrediting or policy decisions of the agency;

(3) The agency has sufficient budgetary and administrative autonomy to carry out its accrediting functions independently;

(4) The agency provides to the related, associated, or affiliated trade association or membership organization only information it makes available to the public.

(e) An agency seeking a waiver of the "separate and independent" requirements under paragraph (d) of this section must apply for the waiver each time the agency seeks recognition or continued recognition.

In Petition	File Review
• A narrative describing which category the agency seeks	 Minutes of decision-making body
recognition or renewal of recognition. The appropriate	meetings, including the names of members
categories are specified in §§ 602.14(a)(1), 602.14(a)(2),	present, meeting agendas, recusals where
602.14(a)(3) and/or 602.14(a)(4).	appropriate from members that have a
• By-laws, articles of incorporation and policies, etc. defining	conflict of interest on one or more issues (if
the agency's membership, its principal purposes, whether the	applicable) and written requests from
programs or institutions it accredits participate in title IV	member institutions that raise concerns
programs, and how it meets the requirements of § 602.10.	about conflicts of interest among members
• The agency's standards, policies, and procedures manual(s).	of decision-making bodies (if the agency received any such letters) and responses to
For agencies required to demonstrate compliance with the	those letters that describe the resolution to
separate and independent criteria, such as agencies	the concern.
recognized under §§ 602.14(a)(2) and 602.14(a)(4), the	
following documentation is required:	For agencies demonstrating compliance with the separate and independent criteria:

In Petition	File Review
• A list of members of the agency's decision-making bodies	 Copies of the agency's approved budgets
(including its appeals body) describing each member's current	during the recognition period and meeting
position or role on that body. The list must identify which	minutes documenting when each budget
member(s) represent the public and the terms of service for	was approved and who was involved in that
each individual.	approval.
 Page numbers of the agency's standards, policies, and 	 Minutes of meetings when decision-
procedures manual(s) that pinpoint: (1) the members of the	making body members are nominated and
agency's decision-making body and their qualifications; (2) the	elected.
procedures followed for nominating and electing members of	 Correspondence to members of decision-
the decision- making body; and (3) the definition of public	making bodies indicating that an individual
members and policies that determine what percentage of the	has been nominated/elected to serve on
decision-making body must be representatives of the public.	that body.
• A list of all entities the agency considers to be a related,	 Documentation from audited financial
associated, or affiliated trade association or membership	statements that the agency is meeting the
organization.	terms of contractual agreements and is
• A statement of independence from any related or affiliated	paying market rates for any equipment,
trade association, disclosure of any budgetary, supervisory, or	space, or staff it shares with the
decision-making relationship with such organizations, and a	membership or trade organization.
description of the agency's policies for establishing and	
collecting dues separate from dues or membership fees paid to	
any related trade or professional organization.	
•Copies of any contracts, MOUs, or agreements between the	
agency and its parent organization regarding personnel actions,	
the appointment of members of the agency's board and	
decision-making bodies, the financial arrangements that exist between the organizations, if any, and agreements regarding	
payment for the use of shared space or services.	
 A description of the process by which the agency establishes 	
and approves its budget and makes financial decisions.	
 A waiver request for "separate and independent" 	
requirements, if applicable.	
requirements, if applicable.	

§ 602.15 Administrative and fiscal responsibilities.

The agency must have the administrative and fiscal capability to carry out its accreditation activities in light of its requested scope of recognition. The agency meets this requirement if the agency demonstrates that—

(a) The agency has—

(1) Adequate administrative staff and financial resources to carry out its accrediting responsibilities;

(2) Competent and knowledgeable individuals, qualified by education or experience in their own right and trained by the agency on their responsibilities, as appropriate for their roles, regarding the agency's standards, policies, and procedures, to conduct its on-site evaluations, apply or establish its policies, and make its accrediting and preaccrediting decisions, including, if applicable to the agency's scope, their responsibilities regarding distance education and correspondence courses;

(3) Academic and administrative personnel on its evaluation, policy, and decision-making bodies, if the agency accredits institutions;

(4) Educators, practitioners, and/or employers on its evaluation, policy, and decision-making bodies, if the agency accredits programs or single-purpose institutions that prepare students for a specific profession;

(5) Representatives of the public, which may include students, on all decision-making bodies; and (6) Clear and effective controls, including guidelines, to prevent or resolve conflicts of interest, or the appearance of conflicts of interest, by the agency's—

(i) Board members;

(ii) Commissioners;

(iii) Evaluation team members;

(iv) Consultants;

(v) Administrative staff; and

(vi) Other agency representatives; and

(b) The agency maintains complete and accurate records of-

(1) Its last full accreditation or preaccreditation review of each institution or program, including on-site evaluation team reports, the institution's or program's responses to onsite reports, periodic review reports, any reports of special reviews conducted by the agency between regular reviews, and a copy of the institution's or program's most recent self-study; and
(2) All decision letters issued by the agency regarding the accreditation and preaccreditation of any institution or program and any substantive changes.

In Petition	File Review
• Organizational chart with names and position titles,	Staff resumes or CVs.
length of time in role, staff departures over the last two	 Site visitor resumes, CVs, or applications.
years, and open positions.	 Resumes, CVs, or applications for all individuals
• Resumes, job titles, and job descriptions of senior staff	who serve on decision-making bodies, to include
members, including the executive director, chief	documentation that public representatives meet
financial officer, and academic or accreditation directors	the Department of Education's definition for that
(or equivalents).	role.
• The qualifications and affiliations of all individuals who	 Documentation of review of letters received
serve on the decision-making bodies, to include	from institutions or programs (including those
documentation that public representatives meet the	received by the Department and forwarded to the
Department of Education's definition for that role.	agency) expressing concern
 Documentation on how the agency seeks and selects 	regarding the timeliness of agency actions and
public members, the criteria used for selection,	decisions and its ability to adhere to its published
selectees' applications, vetting, and the basis for which	timelines.
final decisions are made.	 Training materials used over the prior two years
 The agency's two most recent annual financial 	to educate staff and agency representatives about
statements.	the agency's standards, policies, and procedures,
 The agency's two most recent annual budgets. 	as well as attendance records and any other
• Total number of accredited programs and institutions,	documentation of training events.
and staff-to-program or staff-to-institution ratio at the	 Signed conflict-of-interest statements for
end of each year for the previous three years.	current board members, commissioners,
 List of any site visits, agency decisions, or other 	members of site visit teams that participated in
reviews that had to be postponed during the prior two	visits during the prior two years, consultants, staff
years and the reason for the postponement.	and others as appropriate.

In Petition	File Review
• A roster of all individuals serving on decision-making	Documentation of instances (such as meeting
bodies, the role that each filled, and the policies and	minutes or correspondence with an institution or
procedures related to their selection.	program regarding concerns about a potential site
 A roster of all individuals who in the past year 	visitor, etc.) in which individuals recused
participated on site visit teams, how many reviews each	themselves from activities or decisions based on a
participated in, with an indication of the specific focus of	conflict-of-interest concern, if such instances
each member on that team. ²	exist.
• Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies, and	 Formal complaints relating to conflicts of
procedures manual(s) that describe the process for	interest, if they exist, and an explanation of the
selecting and assigning site visitors during the prior year	measures the agency took to resolve the conflict
or, if not applicable, during the most recent recognition	or confirm that none exists.
period or the period of time the agency was performing	• Department staff will spot check files to ensure
accrediting reviews prior to recognition by the Secretary.	that adequate records are being maintained for
• A copy of all conflict of interest policies and procedures	each accredited or preaccredited institution or
and the agency's policies and procedures for avoiding or	program, as described in this section.
remedying conflicts of interest among members of its	
decision-making bodies.	
• Sample conflict-of-interest form(s) of each type used.	
• The agency's record retention policy, which includes	
where and how documents are stored, secured, and retained.	
• A written explanation for how the agency's staff-to- program or staff-to-institution member ratio is sufficient	
to meet the needs of its institution member ratio is sufficient	
perform its function thoroughly and in a timely manner,	
and if not, what the agency's plan is to modify its staffing	
to meet those needs.	
**If your agency accredits both institutions (to include	
freestanding, single-purpose) and programs and both are	
included with the agency's scope of recognition, your	
agency will be required to respond to both §§	
602.15(a)(3) and 602.15(a)(4)**	

§ 602.16 Accreditation and preaccreditation standards.

(a) The agency must demonstrate that it has standards for accreditation, and preaccreditation, if offered, that are sufficiently rigorous to ensure that the agency is a reliable authority regarding the quality of the education or training provided by the institutions or programs it accredits. The agency meets this requirement if the following conditions are met:

² **Note:** The Department has recently issued guidance in connection with the COVID-19 national emergency approving the temporary use of telecommunications technology to conduct institution or program site visits and has provided the temporary opportunity for agencies to add new policies to support virtual site visits with approval of their decision-making bodies. At this time, agencies should continue to comply with the COVID-19 guidance found on the Department's website at https://www.ed.gov/coronavirus/program-information#highered.

(1) The agency's accreditation standards must set forth clear expectations for the institutions or programs it accredits in the following areas:

(i) Success with respect to student achievement in relation to the institution's mission, which may include different standards for different institutions or programs, as established by the institution, including, as appropriate, consideration of State licensing examinations, course completion, and job placement rates.

In Petition	File Review
• Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies,	• A sample of self-studies or relevant portions of self-
and procedures manual(s) that describe the	studies that could include any institution or program
standards set by the agency for measuring student	that underwent an accreditation or preaccreditation
achievement. These pages must describe the way	review by the agency during the recognition period.
the agency evaluates adherence to those	Department staff will select and review at least three
requirements and the way it enforces compliance.	self-studies (or fewer if there were fewer reviews
• A narrative on how the agency determined that	during the recognition period), unless the agency has
its student achievement standards are sufficiently	performed accreditation or preaccreditation reviews
rigorous to ensure that the agency is a reliable	for more than 50 institutions or programs during the
authority regarding the quality of education	recognition period, in which case Department staff will
provided by the institutions or programs it accredits.	select up to an additional three self-studies for review.
• A narrative (and related exhibits) that explain how	If no institutions or programs underwent accreditation
the agency reviews student achievement outcomes	or preaccreditation review during the recognition
within the accreditation review process.	period, the agency's compliance evaluation will be
• A copy of a self-study from at least one institution	limited to its standards, policies, and procedures
selected by Department staff, with page numbers	regarding self-studies.
identifying the sections relevant to these criteria. If	• Site visit reports and decision letters from the same
that self-study does not demonstrate non-	institutions or programs whose self-studies were selected for review.
compliance in this area, the agency will provide one	selected for review.
that does, with page numbers identifying the sections relevant to these criteria, to demonstrate	
agency review and action when an institution does	
not meet the agency's standards.	
• A copy of the site visit report and decision letter	
from the same institution.	
nom the same institution.	
**The selection of an institution by the Department	
for inclusion within the petition is limited to those	
agencies that accredit institutions for Title IV	
purposes. All other agencies will choose their own	
example for submission.**	

(ii) Curricula.

(iii) Faculty.

(iv) Facilities, equipment, and supplies.

(v) Fiscal and administrative capacity as appropriate to the specified scale of operations.

(vi) Student support services.

(vii) Recruiting and admissions practices, academic calendars, catalogs, publications, grading, and advertising.

(viii) Measures of program length and the objectives of the degrees or credentials offered. (ix) Record of student complaints received by, or available to, the agency.

(x) Record of compliance with the institution's program responsibilities under title IV of the Act, based on the most recent student loan default rate data provided by the Secretary, the results of financial or compliance audits, program reviews, and any other information that the Secretary may provide to the agency; and

In Petition	File Review
 Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies, and 	 Self-studies as described in the
procedures manual(s) relevant to these criteria.	Handbook under 602.16(a)(1)(i).
 A narrative explaining the agency's expectations for an 	 Site visit reports and decision letters
institution's or program's review of its performance against these	from the institutions or programs whose
standards as part of the institution's or program's self-study	self-studies were selected for review.
process.	For each of the institutions or programs
 A narrative that explains how site visit teams review the 	selected by the Department for its
institution's or program's performance against each type of	review of agency action relating to non-
standard.	compliance with the standards in
 A self-study from one institution selected by Department staff 	602.16(a)(1)(ii)-(x), self-studies, site visit
related to the agency's review of the institution's or program's	reports, and decision letters
performance against these standards. If that self-study does not	 Records that document agency
demonstrate non-compliance in this area, the agency will provide	reviews of the most recent student loan
one that does.	default rate data provided by the
 For each of the standards in 602.16(a)(1)(ii)-(x), identify three 	Secretary, the results of financial or
institutions or programs which the agency found to be out of	compliance audits, program reviews,
compliance during the recognition period, to assist the	and any other information that the
Department in selecting files for file review. If no institutions or	Secretary may provide to the agency, as
programs were found out of compliance on any particular	appropriate.
standard, please indicate that in your petition. If fewer than three	 A review of other records, based on
institutions or programs were found out of compliance, identify	complaints received by the Department
those institutions or programs.	or other knowledge held by the
• A copy of the site visit report and decision letter from the same	Secretary, regarding program review
institution.	findings, or Department data indicating
	an institution is high-risk.
**The selection of an institution by the Department for inclusion	
within the petition is limited to those agencies that accredit	
institutions for Title IV purposes. All other agencies will choose	
their own example for submission.**	

(2) The agency's preaccreditation standards, if offered, must--

(i) Be appropriately related to the agency's accreditation standards; and (ii) Not permit the institution or program to hold preaccreditation status for more than five years before a final accrediting action is made.

In Petition	File Review
• A copy of the agency's preaccreditation standards, if applicable.	Additional samples of self-studies, site
• A copy of a self-study from one institution or program seeking or	visit reports, and decision letters from
in preaccreditation status during the period of recognition, if	institutions or programs seeking or
applicable.	granted preaccreditation status, if
• A copy of the site visit report and decision letter from the same	applicable.
institution or program.	
• A list of all programs or institutions granted preaccreditation	
during the recognition period and any actions on accreditation and	
their relevant dates for each.	

(b) Agencies are not required to apply the standards described in paragraph (a)(1)(x) of this section to institutions that do not participate in title IV, HEA programs. Under such circumstance, the agency's grant of accreditation or preaccreditation must specify that the grant, by request of the institution, does not include participation by the institution in title IV, HEA programs.

(c) If the agency only accredits programs and does not serve as an institutional accrediting agency for any of those programs, its accreditation standards must address the areas in paragraph (a)(1) of this section in terms of the type and level of the program rather than in terms of the institution.

(d)

(1) If the agency has or seeks to include within its scope of recognition the evaluation of the quality of institutions or programs offering distance education, correspondence courses, or direct assessment education, the agency's standards must effectively address the quality of an institution's distance education, correspondence courses, or direct assessment education in the areas identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(2) The agency is not required to have separate standards, procedures, or policies for the evaluation of distance education or correspondence courses.

(e) If none of the institutions an agency accredits participates in any title IV, HEA program, or if the agency only accredits programs within institutions that are accredited by a nationally recognized institutional accrediting agency, the agency is not required to have the accreditation standards described in paragraphs (a)(1)(viii) and (a)(1)(x) of this section.

(f) An agency that has established and applies the standards in paragraph (a) of this section may establish any additional accreditation standards it deems appropriate.

(g) Nothing in paragraph (a) of this section restricts--

(1) An accrediting agency from setting, with the involvement of its members, and applying accreditation standards for or to institutions or programs that seek review by the agency; (2) An institution from developing and using institutional standards to show its success with respect to student achievement, which achievement may be considered as part of any accreditation review; or

(3) Agencies from having separate standards regarding an institution's or a program's process for approving curriculum to enable programs to more effectively meet the recommendations of-

(i) Industry advisory boards that include employers who hire program graduates;

- (ii) Widely recognized industry standards and organizations;
- (iii) Credentialing or other occupational registration or licensure; or
- (iv) Employers in a given field or occupation, in making hiring decisions.

(4) Agencies from having separate faculty standards for instructors teaching courses within a dual or concurrent enrollment program, as defined in 20 U.S.C. 7801, or career and technical

education courses, as long as the instructors, in the agency's judgment, are qualified by education or work experience for that role.

In Petition	File Review
Narrative description of the agency's review of distance education,	 A self-study from at least
correspondence courses, and/or direct assessment programs, if applicable. ³	three institutions or
• Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies, and procedures manual(s)	programs each that offer
related to the review of distance education, correspondence courses, and/or	distance education,
direct assessment programs, if applicable.	correspondence courses,
• A copy of a self-study from one institution or program reviewed for distance	and/or direct assessment
education, correspondence courses, and direct assessment programs, if	programs, if applicable.
applicable.	 A copy of the site visit
• A copy of the site visit report and decision letter from the same institution or	reports and decision letters
program, if applicable.	from the same institutions
• A list of institutions or programs that have been approved to offer distance	or programs, if applicable.
education, correspondence courses and/or direct assessment programs during	 Any correspondence
the recognition period, if applicable.	relevant to an institution
• A list of institutions preaccredited or accredited by the agency that, by	requesting to receive a
request of the institutions, do not have participation in title IV, HEA programs	scope of accreditation that
included in their scope of accreditation, if applicable under § 602.16(b).	does not include
• Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies, and procedures manual(s)	participation by the
related to either separate standards for approving curriculum or separate	institution in title IV HEA
faculty standards for instructors teaching courses within a dual or concurrent	programs, if applicable.
enrollment program. Additionally, sample documentation of implementation of	
these separate standards, if applicable.	
Narrative and documentation of the agency's process for developing and	
implementing either separate standards for approving curriculum or separate	
faculty standards for dual or concurrent enrollment programs, if applicable.	

§ 602.17 Application of standards in reaching an accrediting decision.

³ **Note:** On August 31, 2020, the Department published notice in the *Federal Register* (<u>85 FR 54148</u>) which rescinded the Dear Colleague Letter "Institutional Accreditation for Distance Learning Programs" (06-17), which had been interpreted to mean that, if an institution does not offer more than 50 percent of an educational program via distance education, the institution's accrediting agency is not required to expand its scope of recognition to include distance education. As clarified in our Electronic Announcement (EA) on January 19, 2021 (<u>EA ID:OPE-21-06</u>), agencies should be aware that, pursuant to <u>34</u> <u>CFR § 668.8(m)</u>, a distance education program is not eligible for Title IV participation unless the institution has been evaluated and accredited to offer distance education programs by a recognized accrediting agency that has distance education within its scope of recognition. This requirement applies to the offering of any portion of a program offered via distance education included in its scope of recognition if it accredits an institution that offers any portion of a program via distance education. Accrediting agencies should work with institutions they accredit or plan to accredit to communicate the agency's requirements for evaluating whether the institution is capable of effective delivery of distance education programs. More information is available in our January 19, 2021 <u>EA</u>.

The agency must have effective mechanisms for evaluating an institution's or program's compliance with the agency's standards before reaching a decision to accredit or preaccredit the institution or program. The agency meets this requirement if the agency demonstrates that it--

(a) Evaluates whether an institution or program-

(1) Maintains clearly specified educational objectives that are consistent with its mission and appropriate in light of the degrees or certificates awarded;

(2) Is successful in achieving its stated objectives at both the institutional and program levels; and

(3) Maintains requirements that at least conform to commonly accepted academic standards, or the equivalent, including pilot programs in § 602.18(b);

(b) Requires the institution or program to engage in a self-study process that assesses the institution's or program's education quality and success in meeting its mission and objectives, highlights opportunities for improvement, and includes a plan for making those improvements;

(c) Conducts at least one on-site review of the institution or program during which it obtains sufficient information to determine if the institution or program complies with the agency's standards;

(d) Allows the institution or program the opportunity to respond in writing to the report of the on-site review;

(e) Conducts its own analysis of the self-study and supporting documentation furnished by the institution or program, the report of the on-site review, the institution's or program's response to the report, and any other information substantiated by the agency from other sources to determine whether the institution or program complies with the agency's standards;

(f) Provides the institution or program with a detailed written report that assesses the institution's or program's compliance with the agency's standards, including areas needing improvement, and the institution's or program's performance with respect to student achievement;

(g) Requires institutions to have processes in place through which the institution establishes that a student who registers in any course offered via distance education or correspondence is the same student who academically engages in the course or program; and

(h) Makes clear in writing that institutions must use processes that protect student privacy and notify students of any projected additional student charges associated with the verification of student identity at the time of registration or enrollment.

In Petition	File Review
• Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies, and procedures manual(s)	• The site visit reports,
relevant to this section.	responses, decision
• Requirements for the preparation of self-studies, with a focus on the criteria	letters, and related
listed in 602.16(a) for institutions and/or programs. A copy of the self-study	materials associated with
review, site visit report, institution/program responses, decision letter, appeals	the self-studies selected
and appeal results (where applicable) from at least one accreditation or	by Department staff.
preaccreditation review, selected in advance by Department staff and based on	 Documentation of
the list of upcoming reviews and decisions provided to the Department at the	training on self-studies
beginning of the recognition review process.	provided to institutions
• The response, if any, provided by the institution or program to those site visit	and/or programs.
reports.	 Minutes from decision-
• Agendas from all decision-making body meetings for the prior two years.	making body meetings for
• If applicable, policies and procedures related to how the agency reviews	the prior year for
student identity verification for distance education and/or correspondence	comprehensive
courses, and agency requirements related to student privacy protection and any	accreditation reviews.

In Petition	File Review
additional costs to students associated with the verification of student identity	
for distance education and/or correspondence courses.	
**The selection of an institution by the Department for inclusion within the	
petition is limited to those agencies that accredit institutions for Title IV	
purposes. All other agencies will choose their own example for submission.**	

§ 602.18 Ensuring consistency in decision-making.

(a) The agency must consistently apply and enforce standards that respect the stated mission of the institution, including religious mission, and that ensure that the education or training offered by an institution or program, including any offered through distance education, correspondence courses, or direct assessment education is of sufficient quality to achieve its stated objective for the duration of any accreditation or preaccreditation period.

(b) The agency meets the requirement in paragraph (a) of this section if the agency—

(1) Has written specification of the requirements for accreditation and preaccreditation that include clear standards for an institution or program to be accredited or preaccredited;
(2) Has effective controls against the inconsistent application of the agency's standards;
(3) Bases decisions regarding accreditation and preaccreditation on the agency's published standards and does not use as a negative factor the institution's religious mission-based policies, decisions, and practices in the areas covered by § 602.16(a)(1)(ii), (iii), (iv), (vi), and (vii) provided, however, that the agency may require that the institution's or program's curricula include all core components required by the agency;

(4) Has a reasonable basis for determining that the information the agency relies on for making accrediting decisions is accurate;

(5) Provides the institution or program with a detailed written report that clearly identifies any deficiencies in the institution's or program's compliance with the agency's standards; and
(6) Publishes any policies for retroactive application of an accreditation decision, which must not provide for an effective date that predates either—

(i) An earlier denial by the agency of accreditation or preaccreditation to the institution or program; or

(ii) The agency's formal approval of the institution or program for consideration in the agency's accreditation or preaccreditation process.

(c) Nothing in this part prohibits an agency, when special circumstances exist, to include innovative program delivery approaches or, when an undue hardship on students occurs, from applying equivalent written standards, policies, and procedures that provide alternative means of satisfying one or more of the requirements set forth in 34 CFR 602.16, 602.17, 602.19, 602.20, 602.22, and 602.24, as compared with written standards, policies, and procedures the agency ordinarily applies, if—

(1) The alternative standards, policies, and procedures, and the selection of institutions or programs to which they will be applied, are approved by the agency's decision-making body and otherwise meet the intent of the agency's expectations and requirements;

(2) The agency sets and applies equivalent goals and metrics for assessing the performance of institutions or programs;

(3) The agency's process for establishing and applying the alternative standards, policies, and procedures is set forth in its published accreditation manuals; and

(4) The agency requires institutions or programs seeking the application of alternative standards to demonstrate the need for an alternative assessment approach, that students will receive equivalent benefit, and that students will not be harmed through such application.

(d) Nothing in this part prohibits an agency from permitting the institution or program to be out of compliance with one or more of its standards, policies, and procedures adopted in satisfaction of §§ 602.16, 602.17, 602.19, 602.20, 602.22, and 602.24 for a period of time, as determined by the agency annually, not to exceed three years unless the agency determines there is good cause to extend the period of time, and if—

(1) The agency and the institution or program can show that the circumstances requiring the period of noncompliance are beyond the institution's or program's control, such as—

(i) A natural disaster or other catastrophic event significantly impacting an institution's or program's operations;

(ii) Accepting students from another institution that is implementing a teach-out or closing;

(iii) Significant and documented local or national economic changes, such as an economic recession or closure of a large local employer;

(iv) Changes relating to State licensure requirements;

(v) The normal application of the agency's standards creates an undue hardship on students; or

(vi) Instructors who do not meet the agency's typical faculty standards, but who are otherwise qualified by education or work experience, to teach courses within a dual or concurrent enrollment program, as defined in 20 U.S.C. 7801, or career and technical education courses;

(2) The grant of the period of noncompliance is approved by the agency's decision-making body;
(3) The agency projects that the institution or program has the resources necessary to achieve compliance with the standard, policy, or procedure postponed within the time allotted; and
(4) The institution or program demonstrates to the satisfaction of the agency that the period of noncompliance will not—

(i) Contribute to the cost of the program to the student without the student's consent; (ii) Create any undue hardship on, or harm to, students; or

(iii) Compromise the program's academic quality.

In Petition	File Review
 Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies, and 	 Decision letters, meeting minutes,
procedures manual(s) that are relevant to these criteria, including	and any additional guidance
sections relevant to consistency in decision-making, retroactive	documents or templates (if applicable)
accreditation decisions, innovative program delivery, alternative or	used to ensure consistency in decision-
equivalent standards, policies or procedures, and good cause	making, including guidance on distance
extensions under this section.	education, correspondence courses
 Responses to any complaints provided by the Department 	and/or direct assessment programs, if
regarding inequitable application of standards.	applicable.
• An explanation for instances in which an institution or program	 Meeting minutes from decision-
may need to revise its standards or obtain permission from the	making body meetings, including
agency's decision-making body for one or more standards as a	appeals body meetings and subsequent
result of circumstances beyond its control, such as local or national	decisions if the appeals body is not the
unemployment rates, changes in a state's licensure requirements,	final decision-making body for appeals.
or the loss of a major employer in the local area, if applicable. In	

In Petition	File Review
addition, all documentation about how such permissions will be	 Complaints received from institutions
communicated to current and prospective students.	or programs regarding inconsistent
• A list of institutions or programs that received permission to be	treatment of institutions or programs,
out of compliance with standards, for a period not to exceed three	if applicable.
years, during the period of recognition and the reason for	 Additional self-studies, site visit
permitting the non-compliance.	reports, and/or decision letters where
• Documentation of implementation of one good cause extension	policies or procedures related to good-
under the regulatory requirements of this section related to specific	cause extensions under this section,
exceptions noted in § 602.18(d), including relevant sample self-	retroactive accreditation decisions,
studies, site visit reports, decision letters and other documentation,	determinations of innovative program
if applicable.	delivery, or alternative standards have
 A list of institutions or programs to which retroactive 	been applied, if applicable.
accreditation decisions were applied during the period of	 Documentation of the
recognition.	implementation of a good cause
• One example self-study, site visit report, and/or decision letter	extension granted to an institution or
where policies or procedures related to retroactive accreditation	program under this section, and/or
decisions have been applied, if applicable.	alternative standards applied to an
• One example self-study, site visit report, and/or decision letter	institution or program, if applicable.
related to innovative program delivery and/or alternative	
standards, if applicable.	
• A list of programs or institutions that the agency has determined	
are using innovative program delivery approaches and/or that were	
assessed under alternative standards, policies, and procedures, if	
applicable, during the period of recognition.	

§ 602.19 Monitoring and reevaluation of accredited institutions and programs.

(a) The agency must reevaluate, at regularly established intervals, the institutions or programs it has accredited or preaccredited.

(b) The agency must demonstrate it has, and effectively applies, monitoring and evaluation approaches that enable the agency to identify problems with an institution's or program's continued compliance with agency standards and that take into account institutional or program strengths and stability. These approaches must include periodic reports, and collection and analysis of key data and indicators, identified by the agency, including, but not limited to, fiscal information and measures of student achievement, consistent with the provisions of § 602.16(g). This provision does not require institutions or programs to provide annual reports on each specific accreditation criterion.

(c) Each agency must monitor overall growth of the institutions or programs it accredits and, at least annually, collect head-count enrollment data from those institutions or programs.

(d) Institutional accrediting agencies must monitor the growth of programs at institutions experiencing significant enrollment growth, as reasonably defined by the agency.

(e) Any agency that has notified the Secretary of a change in its scope in accordance with § 602.27(a) must monitor the headcount enrollment of each institution it has accredited that offers distance education or correspondence courses. The Secretary will require a review, at the next meeting of the National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, of any change in scope undertaken by an agency if the enrollment of an institution that offers distance education or correspondence courses that is accredited by such agency increases by 50 percent or more within any one institutional fiscal year.

If any such institution has experienced an increase in head-count enrollment of 50 percent or more within one institutional fiscal year, the agency must report that information to the Secretary within 30 days of acquiring such data.

 Annual reports or other evaluation mechanisms the agency uses to monitor
 continued compliance of its institutions and/or programs. Annual reports or other reporting mechanisms the agency uses to monitor headcount at institutions and notations, if applicable, of institutions that have grown by 50 percent or more. Examples of the agency's responses to institutions' or programs' annual reports or other monitoring or evaluation approaches. Meeting minutes or other documents that verify institution or program annual reports (or other monitoring) have been reviewed and approved, or that the agency has taken appropriate action to remedy deficiencies.
p tl ir ir n ● v o a

§ 602.20 Enforcement of standards.

(a) If the agency's review of an institution or program under any standard indicates that the institution or program is not in compliance with that standard, the agency must—

(1) Follow its written policy for notifying the institution or program of the finding of noncompliance;

(2) Provide the institution or program with a written timeline for coming into compliance that is reasonable, as determined by the agency's decision-making body, based on the nature of the finding, the stated mission, and educational objectives of the institution or program. The timeline may include intermediate checkpoints on the way to full compliance and must not exceed the lesser of four years or 150 percent of the—

(i) Length of the program in the case of a programmatic accrediting agency; or

(ii) Length of the longest program at the institution in the case of an institutional accrediting agency;

(3) Follow its written policies and procedures for granting a good cause extension that may exceed the standard timeframe described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section when such an extension is determined by the agency to be warranted; and

(4) Have a written policy to evaluate and approve or disapprove monitoring or compliance reports it requires, provide ongoing monitoring, if warranted, and evaluate an institution's or program's progress in resolving the finding of noncompliance.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a) of this section, the agency must have a policy for taking an immediate adverse action, and take such action, when the agency has determined that such action is warranted.
(c) If the institution or program does not bring itself into compliance within the period specified in paragraph (a) of this section, the agency must take adverse action against the institution or program, but may maintain the institution's or program's accreditation or preaccreditation until the institution or program has had reasonable time to complete the activities in its teach-out plan or to fulfill the obligations of any teach-out agreement to assist students in transferring or completing their programs.
(d) An agency that accredits institutions may limit the adverse or other action to particular programs that are offered by the institution or to particular additional locations of an institution, without necessarily taking action against the entire institution and all of its programs, provided the noncompliance was limited to that particular program or location.

(e) All adverse actions taken under this subpart are subject to the arbitration requirements in 20 U.S.C. 1099b(e).

(f) An agency is not responsible for enforcing requirements in 34 CFR 668.14, 668.15, 668.16, 668.41, or 668.46, but if, in the course of an agency's work, it identifies instances or potential instances of noncompliance with any of these requirements, it must notify the Department.

(g) The Secretary may not require an agency to take action against an institution or program that does not participate in any title IV, HEA or other Federal program as a result of a requirement specified in this part.

In Petition	File Review
 Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies, and procedures manual(s) relevant to enforcement of standards, to include timelines to return to compliance, policies on the review of monitoring and/or compliance reports and good cause extensions. A list of institutions or programs against which the agency has taken an adverse, probation, show cause, or an equivalent action during the recognition period, if applicable, and if so, the length of longest program at the institution or the length of the program, for a programmatic agency, the action taken, the date the action was taken, communication with the institution or program, including the written timeline for coming into compliance, and any resolution or subsequent final action. 	• Review of at least three (or fewer if the agency did not take action against three programs or institutions) examples of the agency's adverse actions taken during the recognition period, including all relevant correspondence and decision letters leading up to the adverse action.

(a) The agency must maintain a comprehensive systematic program of review that involves all relevant constituencies and that demonstrates that its standards are adequate to evaluate the quality of the education or training provided by the institutions and programs it accredits and relevant to the educational or training needs of students.

(b) The agency determines the specific procedures it follows in evaluating its standards, but the agency must ensure that its program of review--

(1) Is comprehensive;

(2) Occurs at regular, yet reasonable, intervals or on an ongoing basis;

(3) Examines each of the agency's standards and the standards as a whole; and

(4) Involves all of the agency's relevant constituencies in the review and affords them a

meaningful opportunity to provide input into the review.

(c) If the agency determines, at any point during its systematic program of review, that it needs to make changes to its standards, the agency must initiate action within 12 months to make the changes and must complete that action within a reasonable period of time.

(d) Before finalizing any changes to its standards, the agency must-

(1) Provide notice to all of the agency's relevant constituencies, and other parties who have made their interest known to the agency, of the changes the agency proposes to make;
(2) Give the constituencies and other interested parties adequate opportunity to comment on the proposed changes; and

(3) Take into account and be responsive to any comments on the proposed changes submitted timely by the relevant constituencies and other interested parties.

In Petition	File Review
 Page numbers of the agency's standards, policies and procedures manual(s) related to the agency's review of standards. Redline versions of standards or other documents that identify the standards that have been updated since the last recognition review. Narrative description of the most recent systematic program of review. Sample of notices to relevant constituencies of review of 	 Review of comments received by relevant constituencies regarding comprehensive standards reviews or updates. Minutes of meetings in which new standards were developed, reviewed, and approved by the decision-making body, board, or other related body under the agency's comprehensive standard review process.
standards.	

§ 602.22 Substantive changes and other reporting requirements.

(a)

(1) If the agency accredits institutions, it must maintain adequate substantive change policies that ensure that any substantive change, as defined in this section, after the agency has accredited or preaccredited the institution does not adversely affect the capacity of the institution to continue to meet the agency's standards. The agency meets this requirement if—

(i) The agency requires the institution to obtain the agency's approval of the substantive change before the agency includes the change in the scope of accreditation or preaccreditation it previously granted to the institution; and

(ii) The agency's definition of substantive change covers high-impact, high-risk changes, including at least the following:

(A) Any substantial change in the established mission or objectives of the institution or its programs.

(B) Any change in the legal status, form of control, or ownership of the institution.

(C) The addition of programs that represent a significant departure from the existing offerings or educational programs, or method of delivery, from those that were offered or used when the agency last evaluated the institution.
(D) The addition of graduate programs by an institution that previously offered only undergraduate programs or certificates.

(E) A change in the way an institution measures student progress, including whether the institution measures progress in clock hours or credit-hours, semesters, trimesters, or quarters, or uses time-based or non-time-based methods.

(F) A substantial increase in the number of clock hours or credit hours awarded, or an increase in the level of credential awarded, for successful completion of one or more programs.

(G) The acquisition of any other institution or any program or location of another institution.

(H) The addition of a permanent location at a site at which the institution is conducting a teach-out for students of another institution that has ceased operating before all students have completed their program of study.
(I) The addition of a new location or branch campus, except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section. The agency's review must include assessment of the institution's fiscal and administrative capability to operate the location or branch campus, the regular evaluation of locations, and verification of the following:

(1) Academic control is clearly identified by the institution.

(2) The institution has adequate faculty, facilities, resources, and academic and student support systems in place.

(3) The institution is financially stable.

(4) The institution had engaged in long-range planning for expansion. (J) Entering into a written arrangement under 34 CFR 668.5 under which an institution or organization not certified to participate in the title IV, HEA programs offers more than 25 and but less than 50 percent of one or more of the accredited institution's educational programs.

(K) Addition of each direct assessment program.

(2)

(i) For substantive changes under only paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(C), (E), (F), (H), or (J) of this section, the agency's decision-making body may designate agency senior staff to approve or disapprove the request in a timely, fair, and equitable manner; and (ii) In the case of a request under paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(J) of this section, the agency must make a final decision within 90 days of receipt of a materially complete request, unless the agency or its staff determine significant circumstances related to the substantive change require a review by the agency's decision-making body to occur within 180 days.

(b) Institutions that have been placed on probation or equivalent status, have been subject to negative action by the agency over the prior three academic years, or are under a provisional certification, as provided in 34 CFR 668.13, must receive prior approval for the following additional changes (all other institutions must report these changes within 30 days to their accrediting agency):

(1) A change in an existing program's method of delivery.

(2) An aggregate change of 25 percent or more of the clock hours, credit hours, or content of a program since the agency's most recent accreditation review.

(3) The development of customized pathways or abbreviated or modified courses or programs to—

(i) Accommodate and recognize a student's existing knowledge, such as knowledge attained through employment or military service; and

(ii) Close competency gaps between demonstrated prior knowledge or competency and the full requirements of a particular course or program.

(4) Entering into a written arrangement under 34 CFR 668.5 under which an institution or organization not certified to participate in the title IV, HEA programs offers up to 25 percent of one or more of the accredited institution's educational programs.

(c) Institutions that have successfully completed at least one cycle of accreditation and have received agency approval for the addition of at least two additional locations as provided in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(l) of this section, and that have not been placed on probation or equivalent status or been subject to a negative action by the agency over the prior three academic years, and that are not under a provisional certification, as provided in 34 CFR 668.13, need not apply for agency approval of subsequent additions of locations, and must report these changes to the accrediting agency within 30 days, if the institution has met criteria established by the agency indicating sufficient capacity to add additional locations without individual prior approvals, including, at a minimum, satisfactory evidence of a system to ensure quality across a distributed enterprise that includes—

(1) Clearly identified academic control;

(2) Regular evaluation of the locations;

(3) Adequate faculty, facilities, resources, and academic and student support systems;

(4) Financial stability; and

(5) Long-range planning for expansion.

(d) The agency must have an effective mechanism for conducting, at reasonable intervals, visits to a representative sample of additional locations approved under paragraphs (a)(1)(ii)(H) and (I) of this section.

(e) The agency may determine the procedures it uses to grant prior approval of the substantive change. However, these procedures must specify an effective date, on which the change is included in the program's or institution's grant of accreditation or preaccreditation. The date of prior approval must not pre-date either an earlier agency denial of the substantive change, or the agency's formal acceptance of the application for the substantive change for inclusion in the program's or institution's grant of accreditation or preaccreditation. An agency may designate the date of a change in ownership as the effective date of its approval of that substantive change if the accreditation decision is made within 30 days of the change in ownership. Except as provided in paragraphs (d) and (f) of this section, an agency may require a visit before granting such an approval.

(f) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, if the agency's accreditation of an institution enables the institution to seek eligibility to participate in title IV, HEA programs, the agency's procedures for the approval of an additional location that is not a branch campus where at least 50 percent of an educational program is offered must include—

(1) A visit, within six months, to each additional location the institution establishes, if the institution—

(i) Has a total of three or fewer additional locations;

(ii) Has not demonstrated, to the agency's satisfaction, that the additional location is meeting all of the agency's standards that apply to that additional location; or

(iii) Has been placed on warning, probation, or show cause by the agency or is subject to some limitation by the agency on its accreditation or preaccreditation status;

(2) A mechanism for conducting, at reasonable intervals, visits to a representative sample of additional locations of institutions that operate more than three additional locations; and
(3) A mechanism, which may, at the agency's discretion, include visits to additional locations, for ensuring that accredited and preaccredited institutions that experience rapid growth in the number of additional locations maintain education quality.

(g) The purpose of the visits described in paragraph (f) of this section is to verify that the additional location has the personnel, facilities, and resources the institution claimed it had in its application to the agency for approval of the additional location.

(h) The agency's substantive change policy must define when the changes made or proposed by an institution are or would be sufficiently extensive to require the agency to conduct a new comprehensive evaluation of that institution.

In Petition	File Review
 Page numbers of the agency's standards, policies, and procedures manual(s) that describe the agency's substantive change policies and procedures, to include policies related to all types of substantive change described in this section, decisions made by senior staff, retroactive approval of changes, and when a determination is made by an agency that substantive changes required a comprehensive accreditation review. A copy of a substantive change review (application, correspondence, and decision letter) for each type of substantive change request made during the current recognition period. A list of substantive change requests made by institutions, the status of those requests during the current recognition period, and whether each was subject to notification. A list of additional locations that have been opened during the current review period, if applicable, and whether each was subject to prior approval. The agency's policy for conducting site visits at a reasonable interval to a representative sample of additional locations. The agency's policy on how it defines whether an additional location offers 50 percent of an educational program. A copy of a substantive change request from one institution or program reviewed for distance 	 Review of files for institutions that have requested substantive changes and the type of change requested. Records of site visits for additional locations, including decisions made by the agency. Documentation of review of the percent of each educational program offered at the additional location. Records of the review of written arrangements, including the determination of the amount of an educational program provided. Minutes from meetings of the agency's decision-making bodies in which substantive changes were reviewed and approved/denied. Documentation of the approval or denial of substantive changes by senior staff. Records of site visits of additional locations among institutions that operate more than three additional locations, if applicable. Review of change of control requests and subsequent decisions and any related follow up reviews.

In Petition	File Review
education, correspondence courses, or direct	
assessment program, the corresponding site visit	
report, and decision letter, if applicable.	

§ 602.23 Operating procedures all agencies must have.

(a) The agency must maintain and make available to the public written materials describing--

(1) Each type of accreditation and preaccreditation it grants;

(2) The procedures that institutions or programs must follow in applying for accreditation, preaccreditation, or substantive changes and the sequencing of those steps relative to any applications or decisions required by States or the Department relative to the agency's preaccreditation, accreditation, or substantive change decisions;

(3) The standards and procedures it uses to determine whether to grant, reaffirm, reinstate, restrict, deny, revoke, terminate, or take any other action related to each type of accreditation and preaccreditation that the agency grants;

(4) The institutions and programs that the agency currently accredits or preaccredits and, for each institution and program, the year the agency will next review or reconsider it for accreditation or preaccreditation; and

(5) A list of the names, academic and professional qualifications, and relevant employment and organizational affiliations of—

(i) The members of the agency's policy and decision-making bodies; and (ii) The agency's principal administrative staff.

(b) In providing public notice that an institution or program subject to its jurisdiction is being considered for accreditation or preaccreditation, the agency must provide an opportunity for third-party comment concerning the institution's or program's qualifications for accreditation or preaccreditation. At the agency's discretion, third-party comment may be received either in writing or at a public hearing, or both.

(c) The accrediting agency must--

(1) Review in a timely, fair, and equitable manner any complaint it receives against an accredited institution or program that is related to the agency's standards or procedures. The agency may not complete its review and make a decision regarding a complaint unless, in accordance with published procedures, it ensures that the institution or program has sufficient opportunity to provide a response to the complaint;

(2) Take follow-up action, as necessary, including enforcement action, if necessary, based on the results of its review; and

(3) Review in a timely, fair, and equitable manner, and apply unbiased judgment to, any complaints against itself and take follow-up action, as appropriate, based on the results of its review.

(d) If an institution or program elects to make a public disclosure of its accreditation or preaccreditation status, the agency must ensure that the institution or program discloses that status accurately, including the specific academic or instructional programs covered by that status and the name and contact information for the agency.

(e) The accrediting agency must provide for the public correction of incorrect or misleading information an accredited or preaccredited institution or program releases about--

(1) The accreditation or preaccreditation status of the institution or program;

- (2) The contents of reports of on-site reviews; and
- (3) The agency's accrediting or preaccrediting actions with respect to the institution or program.

(f)

(1) If preaccreditation is offered—

(i) The agency's preaccreditation policies must limit the status to institutions or programs that the agency has determined are likely to succeed in obtaining accreditation;

(ii) The agency must require all preaccredited institutions to have a teach-out plan, which must ensure students completing the teach-out would meet curricular requirements for professional licensure or certification, if any, and which must include a list of academic programs offered by the institution and the names of other institutions that offer similar programs and that could potentially enter into a teach-out agreement with the institution;

(iii) An agency that denies accreditation to an institution it has preaccredited may maintain the institution's preaccreditation for currently enrolled students until the institution has had a reasonable time to complete the activities in its teach-out plan to assist students in transferring or completing their programs, but for no more than 120 days unless approved by the agency for good cause; and

(iv) The agency may not move an accredited institution or program from accredited to preaccredited status unless, following the loss of accreditation, the institution or program applies for initial accreditation and is awarded preaccreditation status under the new application. Institutions that participated in the title IV, HEA programs before the loss of accreditation are subject to the requirements of 34 CFR 600.11(c).

(2) All credits and degrees earned and issued by an institution or program holding preaccreditation from a nationally recognized agency are considered by the Secretary to be from an accredited institution or program.

(g) The agency may establish any additional operating procedures it deems appropriate. At the agency's discretion, these may include unannounced inspections.

In Petition	File Review
• The website link and pages of the agency's website (or other	• If applicable, additional examples of
forum) where public materials are posted, including the list of	third-party comments received and
accredited programs or institutions, and the information listed in	reviewed by the agency.
this section.	 Documentation of the review,
 Page numbers within the agency's standards, policies, and 	decision, and, if applicable, action
procedures manual(s) that describe the agency's polices related to	taken on any complaints received
the requirement of this section, including those related to:	during the agency's period of
complaints received against its institutions/programs, complaints	recognition for institutions/programs
against itself; public disclosure of accreditation status, public	or against itself.
correction of misleading information, and preaccreditation.	 If applicable, documentation of
 Documentation of an example of a third-party comment 	implementation of its preaccreditation
received/reviewed by the agency; a complaint received against an	standards, policies, and procedures.
institution or program; a complaint received against the agency,	
and preaccreditation activities, as applicable.	

§ 602.24 Additional procedures certain institutional agencies must have.

If the agency is an institutional accrediting agency and its accreditation or preaccreditation enables those institutions to obtain eligibility to participate in title IV, HEA programs, the agency must demonstrate that it has established and uses all of the following procedures:

(a) Branch campus. The agency must require the institution to notify the agency if it plans to establish a branch campus and to submit a business plan for the branch campus that describes—

(1) The educational program to be offered at the branch campus; and

(2) The projected revenues and expenditures and cash flow at the branch campus.

(b) Site visits. The agency must undertake a site visit to a new branch campus or following a change of ownership or control as soon as practicable, but no later than six months, after the establishment of that campus or the change of ownership or control.

(c) Teach-out plans and agreements.

(1) The agency must require an institution it accredits to submit a teach-out plan as defined in 34 CFR 600.2 to the agency for approval upon the occurrence of any of the following events:

(i) For a nonprofit or proprietary institution, the Secretary notifies the agency of a determination by the institution's independent auditor expressing doubt about the institution's ability to operate as a going concern or indicating an adverse opinion or a finding of material weakness related to financial stability.

(ii) The agency acts to place the institution on probation or equivalent status.

(iii) The Secretary notifies the agency that the institution is participating in title IV, HEA programs under a provisional program participation agreement and the Secretary has required a teach-out plan as a condition of participation.

(2) The agency must require an institution it accredits or preaccredits to submit a teach-out plan and, if practicable, teach-out agreements (as defined in 34 CFR 600.2) to the agency for approval upon the occurrence of any of the following events:

(i) The Secretary notifies the agency that it has placed the institution on the reimbursement payment method under 34 CFR 668.162(c) or the heightened cash monitoring payment method requiring the Secretary's review of the institution's supporting documentation under 34 CFR 668.162(d)(2).

(ii) The Secretary notifies the agency that the Secretary has initiated an emergency action against an institution, in accordance with section 487(c)(1)(G) of the HEA, or an action to limit, suspend, or terminate an institution participating in any title IV, HEA program, in accordance with section 487(c)(1)(F) of the HEA.

(iii) The agency acts to withdraw, terminate, or suspend the accreditation or preaccreditation of the institution.

(iv) The institution notifies the agency that it intends to cease operations entirely or close a location that provides one hundred percent of at least one program, including if the location is being moved and is considered by the Secretary to be a closed school.
(v) A State licensing or authorizing agency notifies the agency that an institution's license or legal authorization to provide an educational program has been or will be revoked.

(3) The agency must evaluate the teach-out plan to ensure it includes a list of currently enrolled students, academic programs offered by the institution, and the names of other institutions that offer similar programs and that could potentially enter into a teach-out agreement with the institution.

(4) If the agency approves a teach-out plan that includes a program or institution that is accredited by another recognized accrediting agency, it must notify that accrediting agency of its approval.

(5) The agency may require an institution it accredits or preaccredits to enter into a teach-out agreement as part of its teach-out plan.

(6) The agency must require a closing institution to include in its teach-out agreement—

(i) A complete list of students currently enrolled in each program at the institution and the program requirements each student has completed;

(ii) A plan to provide all potentially eligible students with information about how to obtain a closed school discharge and, if applicable, information on State refund policies; (iii) A record retention plan to be provided to all enrolled students that delineates the final disposition of teach-out records (e.g., student transcripts, billing, financial aid records);

(iv) Information on the number and types of credits the teach-out institution is willing to accept prior to the student's enrollment; and

(v) A clear statement to students of the tuition and fees of the educational program and the number and types of credits that will be accepted by the teach-out institution.

(7) The agency must require an institution it accredits or preaccredits that enters into a teach-out agreement, either on its own or at the request of the agency, to submit that teachout agreement for approval. The agency may approve the teachout agreement only if the agreement meets the requirements of 34 CFR 600.2 and this section, is consistent with applicable standards and regulations, and provides for the equitable treatment of students being served by ensuring that the teachout institution—

(i) Has the necessary experience, resources, and support services to provide an educational program that is of acceptable quality and reasonably similar in content, delivery modality, and scheduling to that provided by the institution that is ceasing operations either entirely or at one of its locations; however, while an option via an alternate method of delivery may be made available to students, such an option is not sufficient unless an option via the same method of delivery as the original educational program is also provided;

(ii) Has the capacity to carry out its mission and meet all obligations to existing students; and

(iii) Demonstrates that it—

(A) Can provide students access to the program and services without requiring them to move or travel for substantial distances or durations; and

(B) Will provide students with information about additional charges, if any. (8) Irrespective of any teach-out plan or signed teach-out agreement, the agency must not permit an institution to serve as a teach-out institution under the following conditions:

(i) The institution is subject to the conditions in paragraph (c)(1) or (2) of this section. (ii) The institution is under investigation, subject to an action, or being prosecuted for an issue related to academic quality, misrepresentation, fraud, or other severe matters by a law enforcement agency.

(9) The agency is permitted to waive requirements regarding the percentage of credits that must be earned by a student at the institution awarding the educational credential if the student is completing his or her program through a written teach-out agreement or transfer.
(10) The agency must require the institution to provide copies of all notifications from the institution related to the institution's closure or to teach-out options to ensure the information accurately represents students' ability to transfer credits and may require corrections. (d) Closed institution. If an institution the agency accredits or preaccredits closes without a teach-out plan or agreement, the agency must work with the Department and the appropriate State agency, to the extent feasible, to assist students in finding reasonable opportunities to complete their education without additional charges.

(e) Transfer of credit policies. The accrediting agency must confirm, as part of its review for initial accreditation or preaccreditation, or renewal of accreditation, that the institution has transfer of credit policies that—

(1) Are publicly disclosed in accordance with § 668.43(a)(11); and

(2) Include a statement of the criteria established by the institution regarding the transfer of credit earned at another institution of higher education.

(f) Agency designations. In its accrediting practice, the agency must—

(1) Adopt and apply the definitions of "branch campus" and "additional location" in 34 CFR 600.2;

(2) On the Secretary's request, conform its designations of an institution's branch campuses and additional locations with the Secretary's if it learns its designations diverge; and

(3) Ensure that it does not accredit or preaccredit an institution comprising fewer than all of the programs, branch campuses, and locations of an institution as certified for title IV participation by the Secretary, except with notice to and permission from the Secretary.

In Petition	File Review
Page numbers for the agency's standards, policies, and procedures	Additional examples of branch
manual(s) related to the requirements of this section, including those	campus applications, approvals, and
related to teach-out plans, branch campuses (as defined by the	site visits, if applicable.
Department in § 600.2), and transfer of credit policies.	 Additional examples of change of
• One example of an application for, approval of, and site visit report	ownership applications, approvals,
for a branch campus during the recognition period, if applicable.	and site visits, if applicable.
• One example of the application, approval of, and site visit report for	 Additional examples of teach-out
a change of ownership, if applicable.	plans, during the recognition period,
• One example demonstrating review of a teach-out plan and of a	if applicable.
teach-out agreement during the recognition period, if applicable, to	 Additional examples of
include the submitted version and the approved version.	notification to recognized agencies
• One example of notification to a recognized agency of the approval	during the recognition period, if
of a teach-out plan or teach-out agreement, if applicable.	applicable.
• Documentation of the agency's published definitions of "additional	 Additional examples of teach-out
location" and "branch campus."	agreements, during the recognition
• One example of the review of transfer of credit policies during the	period, if applicable.
recognition period.	 Three examples of the review of
• A copy of the agency's notice to the Secretary and the Secretary's	transfer of credit policies during the
permission, if the agency has accredited or preaccredited an institution	recognition period.
comprising fewer than all of the programs, branch campuses, and	
locations of an institution as certified for title IV participation by the	
Secretary.	
• A list of institutions required to submit a teach-out plan and/or	
teach-out agreement during the recognition period, whether a plan or	
agreement was required, when the plan or agreement was submitted,	
and if applicable, a date of closure for the institution.	

§ 602.25 Due process.

The agency must demonstrate that the procedures it uses throughout the accrediting process satisfy due process. The agency meets this requirement if the agency does the following:

(a) Provides adequate written specification of its requirements, including clear standards, for an institution or program to be accredited or preaccredited.

(b) Uses procedures that afford an institution or program a reasonable period of time to comply with the agency's requests for information and documents.

(c) Provides written specification of any deficiencies identified at the institution or program examined. (d) Provides sufficient opportunity for a written response by an institution or program regarding any deficiencies identified by the agency, to be considered by the agency within a time frame determined by the agency, and before any adverse action is taken.

(e) Notifies the institution or program in writing of any adverse accrediting action or an action to place the institution or program on probation or show cause. The notice describes the basis for the action. (f) Provides an opportunity, upon written request of an institution or program, for the institution or program to appeal any adverse action prior to the action becoming final.

(1) The appeal must take place at a hearing before an appeals panel that--

(i) May not include current members of the agency's decision-making body that took the initial adverse action;

(ii) Is subject to a conflict of interest policy;

(iii) Does not serve only an advisory or procedural role, and has and uses the authority to make the following decisions: To affirm, amend, or remand adverse actions of the original decision-making body; and

(iv) Affirms, amends, or remands the adverse action. A decision to affirm or amend the adverse action is implemented by the appeals panel or by the original decision-making body, at the agency's option; however, in the event of a decision by the appeals panel to remand the adverse action to the original decision-making body for further consideration, the appeals panel must explain the basis for a decision that differs from that of the original decision-making body and the original decision-making body in a remand must act in a manner consistent with the appeals panel's decisions or instructions.

(2) The agency must recognize the right of the institution or program to employ counsel to represent the institution or program during its appeal, including to make any presentation that the agency permits the institution or program to make on its own during the appeal.

(g) The agency notifies the institution or program in writing of the result of its appeal and the basis for that result.

(h)

(1) The agency must provide for a process, in accordance with written procedures, through which an institution or program may, before the agency reaches a final adverse action decision, seek review of new financial information if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The financial information was unavailable to the institution or program until after the decision subject to appeal was made.

(ii) The financial information is significant and bears materially on the financial deficiencies identified by the agency. The criteria of significance and materiality are determined by the agency.

(iii) The only remaining deficiency cited by the agency in support of a final adverse action decision is the institution's or program's failure to meet an agency standard pertaining to finances.

(2) An institution or program may seek the review of new financial information described in paragraph (h)(1) of this section only once and any determination by the agency made with respect to that review does not provide a basis for an appeal.

In Petition	File Review
 Page numbers of the agency's standards, policies and procedures manual(s) related to due process. An example of the notice required by paragraph (c). An example of a written response described in paragraph (d). An example of a notice described in paragraph (e). An example of an appeal, to include the composition of the panel, the panel decision, written notification of decision, and any subsequent 	 Examples of any additional appeals, to include the appeal panel composition, and decisions during the recognition period, if applicable. Examples of any reviews of new financial information prior to a final adverse action, if applicable, as documented by the minutes of decision-making bodies or appeal bodies. A review of any complaints against the agency regarding due process violations, including any suits
decisions made in response to the appeal panel	filed against the agency or any instances of
decisions made in response to the appeal panel decision, if applicable.	filed against the agency or any instances of arbitration to resolve such complaints, and the resolution to those complaints.
	'

§ 602.26 Notification of accrediting decisions.

The agency must demonstrate that it has established and follows written procedures requiring it to provide written notice of its accrediting decisions to the Secretary, the appropriate State licensing or authorizing agency, the appropriate accrediting agencies, and the public. The agency meets this requirement if the agency, following its written procedures--

(a) Provides written notice of the following types of decisions to the Secretary, the appropriate State licensing or authorizing agency, the appropriate accrediting agencies, and the public no later than 30 days after it makes the decision:

(1) A decision to award initial accreditation or preaccreditation to an institution or program.

(2) A decision to renew an institution's or program's accreditation or preaccreditation; (b) Provides written notice of a final decision of a probation or equivalent status or an initiated adverse action to the Secretary, the appropriate State licensing or authorizing agency, and the appropriate accrediting agencies at the same time it notifies the institution or program of the decision and requires the institution or program to disclose such an action within seven business days of receipt to all current and prospective students;

(c) Provides written notice of the following types of decisions to the Secretary, the appropriate State licensing or authorizing agency, and the appropriate accrediting agencies at the same time it notifies the institution or program of the decision, but no later than 30 days after it reaches the decision:

(1) A final decision to deny, withdraw, suspend, revoke, or terminate the accreditation or preaccreditation of an institution or program.

(2) A final decision to take any other adverse action, as defined by the agency, not listed in paragraph (c)(1) of this section;

(d) Provides written notice to the public of the decisions listed in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section within one business day of its notice to the institution or program;

(e) For any decision listed in paragraph (c) of this section, requires the institution or program to disclose the decision to current and prospective students within seven business days of receipt and makes available to the Secretary, the appropriate State licensing or authorizing agency, and the public, no later than 60 days after the decision, a brief statement summarizing the reasons for the agency's decision and the official comments that the affected institution or program may wish to make with regard to that decision, or evidence that the affected institution has been offered the opportunity to provide official comment;

(f) Notifies the Secretary, the appropriate State licensing or authorizing agency, the appropriate accrediting agencies, and, upon request, the public if an accredited or preaccredited institution or program—

(1) Decides to withdraw voluntarily from accreditation or preaccreditation, within 10 business days of receiving notification from the institution or program that it is withdrawing voluntarily from accreditation or preaccreditation; or

(2) Lets its accreditation or preaccreditation lapse, within 10 business days of the date on which accreditation or preaccreditation lapses.

In Petition	File Review
 Page numbers for the agency's 	• Examples of written notices (letter, screenshot of website, etc.)
standards, policies, and procedures	of awarding initial or the renewal of accreditation to the required
manual(s) related to the notification of	entities no later than 30 days after making the decision.
accreditation decisions.	• Examples of written notice (letter, screenshot of website, etc.)
 Documentation to demonstrate the 	of a final decision of probation or equivalent status or an initiated
agency has submitted the required	adverse action to the required entities at the same time as the
accreditation decisions to the	institution/program to include notice to the public within one
Department via the Database of	business day.
Accredited Postsecondary Institutions	• Examples of written notice (letter, screenshot of a website, etc.)
and Programs (DAPIP) for the prior year.	of a final decision of probation or equivalent status, an initiated
 Links to all locations where the agency 	adverse action, or a final adverse action to current and
provides written notification to the public	prospective students within seven business days.
of any action or final decision taken	• Examples of written notice (letter, screenshot of website, etc.)
against an institution or program.	of a final adverse action to the required entities at the same time
• For each institution or program against	as the institution/program but no later than 30 days after making
which the agency has taken probation,	the decision, to include notice to the public within one business
show cause or an equivalent, or an	day.
adverse action during the recognition	 Examples of the agency's adverse action summary and
period, include a list of all actions the	institution/program comments (or documentation of response
agency has taken for the institution or	opportunity) to the required entities within 60 days after the
program in the previous five years (to	decision, if applicable.
including warnings, monitoring	• Examples of written notice of the voluntary withdrawal of an
requirements, or other actions).	institution/program to the required entities within 10 days of
	receipt of notification, if applicable.
	• An example of written notice of accreditation lapse to the
	required entities within 10 days of the lapse, if applicable.

§ 602.27 Other information an agency must provide the Department.

(a) The agency must submit to the Department—

(1) A list, updated annually, of its accredited and preaccredited institutions and programs, which may be provided electronically;

(2) A summary of the agency's major accrediting activities during the previous year (an annual data summary), if requested by the Secretary to carry out the Secretary's responsibilities related to this part;

(3) Any proposed change in the agency's policies, procedures, or accreditation or preaccreditation standards that might alter its—

(i) Scope of recognition, except as provided in paragraph (a)(4) of this section; or (ii) Compliance with the criteria for recognition;

(4) Notification that the agency has expanded its scope of recognition to include distance education or correspondence courses as provided in section 496(a)(4)(B)(i)(I) of the HEA. Such an expansion of scope is effective on the date the Department receives the notification;
(5) The name of any institution or program it accredits that the agency has reason to believe is failing to meet its title IV, HEA program responsibilities or is engaged in fraud or abuse, along with the agency's reasons for concern about the institution or program; and
(6) If the Secretary requests, information that may bear upon an accredited or preaccredited institution's compliance with its title IV, HEA program responsibilities, including the eligibility of the institution or program to participate in title IV, HEA programs.

(b) If an agency has a policy regarding notification to an institution or program of contact with the Department in accordance with paragraph (a)(5) or (6) of this section, it must provide for a case-by-case review of the circumstances surrounding the contact, and the need for the confidentiality of that contact. When the Department determines a compelling need for confidentiality, the agency must consider that contact confidential upon specific request of the Department.

In Petition	File Review
• Page numbers of the agency's standards, policies,	 Additional examples related to compliance with §
and procedures manual(s) related to this section.	602.27(a)(4) & (5), if applicable.
 Documentation to demonstrate the agency has 	 Additional examples of the review required in §
submitted the required information to the	602.27(b), if applicable.
Department via the Database of Accredited	
Postsecondary Institutions and Programs (DAPIP)	
during the recognition period.	
• A report under § 602.27(a)(4), if applicable.	
• An example of a response under § 602.27(a)(5), if	
applicable.	
• An example of the review required in § 602.27(b), if	
applicable.	

§ 602.28 Regard for decisions of States and other accrediting agencies.

(a) If the agency is an institutional accrediting agency, it may not accredit or preaccredit institutions that lack legal authorization under applicable State law to provide a program of education beyond the secondary level. (b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the agency may not grant initial or renewed accreditation or preaccreditation to an institution, or a program offered by an institution, if the agency knows, or has reasonable cause to know, that the institution is the subject of--

(1) A pending or final action brought by a State agency to suspend, revoke, withdraw, or terminate the institution's legal authority to provide postsecondary education in the State;
(2) A decision by a recognized agency to deny accreditation or preaccreditation;
(3) A pending or final action brought by a recognized accrediting agency to suspend, revoke,

withdraw, or terminate the institution's accreditation or preaccreditation; or (4) Probation or an equivalent status imposed by a recognized agency.

(c) The agency may grant accreditation or preaccreditation to an institution or program described in paragraph (b) of this section only if it provides to the Secretary, within 30 days of its action, a thorough and reasonable explanation, consistent with its standards, why the action of the other body does not preclude the agency's grant of accreditation or preaccreditation.

(d) If the agency learns that an institution it accredits or preaccredits, or an institution that offers a program it accredits or preaccredits, is the subject of an adverse action by another recognized accrediting agency or has been placed on probation or an equivalent status by another recognized agency, the agency must promptly review its accreditation or preaccreditation of the institution or program to determine if it should also take adverse action or place the institution or program on probation or show cause.

(e) The agency must, upon request, share with other appropriate recognized accrediting agencies and recognized State approval agencies information about the accreditation or preaccreditation status of an institution or program and any adverse actions it has taken against an accredited or preaccredited institution or program.

In Petition	File Review
 Page numbers of the 	 Review of files to verify the agency's record of State authorization as
agency's accreditation	required by the Department and the State.
standards, policies, or	• Example of an action an agency took in the event that an institution was not
procedures manual(s)	in compliance with State requirements, if applicable.
related to the requirements	• Examples of any correspondence to the Secretary providing a thorough and
of this section.	reasonable explanation, consistent with its standards, for granting
 A list of institutions or 	accreditation in the event of a situation described in § 602.28(c), if applicable.
programs for which the	 Examples of a review conducted by the agency in the event that another
agency received	recognized accrediting agency took adverse action or placed an institution or
information about an action	program on show cause or probation, or of instances in which, on request, the
by a State or another	agency shared information with other agencies about an institution or program
accrediting agency as	it has taken action against.
described in § 602.28(b),	• Examples of agency inquiries during the accreditation or preaccreditation
along with the dates of	process as to actions taken with respect to the institution or program by States
subsequent review and	and other recognized accrediting agencies.
action taken by the agency,	
if applicable.	

Appendix: Required responses by agency type for 34 CFR §§ 602.10-602.28 The Secretary's Criteria

	Α	В	С
IF THE AGENCY ACCREDITS*	Institutions	Institutions &	Programs only
	For TIV purposes	Programs	For non-TIV
		For non-TIV	Purposes
		Purposes	
§602.10-602.14(a) – Eligibility & Category of the Agency	Х	Х	Х
§602.14(b-e) – Separate and Independent/Joint Use	x	N/A	N/A
§602.15(a)(1-2) – Staffing/Representatives	X	X	X
§602.15(a)(3) – Academic and Admin Personnel	X	X	N/A
§602.15(a)(4) – Educators/Practitioners/Employers	N/A**	X	X
§602.15(a)(5)-602.15(b) – Administration	X	X	X
602.16(a)(1)(1-vii)(a)(6) – Required Standards	Х	X	X
§602.16(a)(1)(viii) – Program Length	Х	N/A	N/A
§602.16(a)(1)(ix) – Student Complaints	Х	X	X
§602.16(a)(1)(x) – TIV Responsibilities	Х	N/A	N/A
§602.16(a)(2) – Preaccreditation, if applicable	Х	X	X
§602.16(b-c) – Institutions Not Participating in TIV	Х	N/A	N/A
§602.16(d)-602.16(g) – Distance/Correspondence	Х	X	X
and Separate Standards, if applicable			
602.17(a)-(f) – Application of Standards §602.17(g) – Distance/Correspondence, if	X	X	X
applicable	x	х	N/A
§602.17(h) – Student Privacy and Verification	Х	Х	N/A
§602.18 – Consistent Decision-making	Х	Х	X
§602.19(a)-(c) – Monitoring and reevaluation	Х	Х	Х
§602.19(d-e) – Significant Growth & Headcount	Х	Х	N/A
§602.20 (a-d) – Enforcement	Х	Х	X
§602.20(e-g) – Enforcement	Х	X – Institutions	N/A
		Only	-
§602.21 – Review of Standards	Х	Х	X
§602.22(a-e) – Substantive Change	Х	X – Institutions	N/A
		Only	
§602.22(f-g) – Approval of Additional Locations	Х	N/A	N/A
§602.22(h) – New Evaluation	Х	X – Institutions	N/A
		Only	
§602.23 – Operating procedures	Х	Х	Х
§602.24 – Institutional Accrediting Procedures	Х	N/A	N/A
§602.25 – Due Process	Х	Х	X
§602.26 – Notification of Accrediting Decisions	Х	Х	X
§602.27(a)(1-4) – Other Information Provided	Х	Х	Х
§602.27(a)(5-6) – Fraud and Abuse	Х	N/A	N/A
§602.28(a) – Legal Authorization	Х	Х	N/A
§602.28(b-e) – Regard for Decisions of Others	Х	Х	Х
*An agency that accredits at least one institution	**An agency that accredits single purpose institutions must		
for Title IV purposes must use the column A labeled	address 602.15(a)(4	ł).	
"Institutions – for TIV purposes."			